

Energy Attenuator Updates (policies, standards and specifications)

Comments received by TCP				
Comment	Organization	Comment	Response	
415		Did the small car and pickup truck used to crash test the QUASH Reduced Exposure System meet the guidelines specified in Chapter 4, Table 4-1 of AASHTO MASH 2016? Small Car (1100kg, front wheel drive) Pickup Truck (2270kg, 2-Wheel Drive, 4 Door, with Center of Gravity between 710-750mm)	Testing was performed according to MASH and was considered satisfactory to MTO	
416		Alternative OPS Reduced Exposure Systems have been previously implemented that have been crash tested to AASHTO MASH 2016 (Non- Redirective). Full scale testing was performed on these implemented systems per MASH Tests 3-40, 3-41, 3-42, 3-43, 3-44, and 3-45 guidelines. Was the QUASH Reduced Exposure System full scale crash tested connected to unanchored TALL42 according to the same AASHTO MASH guidelines (3-40 (Small Car	Quash was crash tested connected to anchored temporary concrete barrier which it may be connected to along with Tall42. Anchored TCB likely results in higher ridedown deceleration forces compared to unanchored barrier. Performance with Tall42 to be monitored in the field.	



F		
	with 1/4 Offset), 3-41, 3-42, 3-43, 3-	
	44, and 3-45)?	
417	Alternative OPS Reduced Exposure	See response to Question 416.
	Systems have been previously	and the position of questions are
	implemented that have been crash	
	tested to AASHTO MASH 2016 (Non-	
	Redirective). Full scale testing was	
	performed on these implemented	
	systems per MASH Tests 3-40, 3-41,	
	3-42, 3-43, 3-44, and 3-45 guidelines.	
	Was the QUASH Reduced Exposure	
	System full scale crash tested	
	connected to Anchored Temporary	
	Concrete Barrier according to the	
	same AASHTO MASH guidelines (3-	
	40 (Small Car with 1/4 Offset), 3-41,	
	3-42, 3-43, 3-44, and 3-45)?	
420	In MTOD 924.151 and MTOD 924.152	To be corrected in final drawings
	it seems that the symbols for greater	
	then and less then, found in Note 1,	
	have been reversed based on	
	previous Reduced Exposure OPS	
	drawings that have been implemented	
	in the past. Should the symbol for	
	greater then and less then be	
	reversed in these drawings?	
	rovorcou in those diamings.	